



Universal Postal Service in Major Economies

November 2013

Executive Summary

Faced with a changing global marketplace with new technologies and enhanced world-wide connectivity, postal operators are adapting their business models. Where this often uneven evolution has been successful, it is characterized by three primary strategies: 1) liberalization, 2) diversification of revenue sources and 3) rethinking universal service requirements. These three best practices have allowed the world's largest national posts to remain both relevant and fiscally solvent despite declining service demands and global economic recession.

Declining mail volume, independent of economic growth, has driven changes in business models. One study noted a 5.6 percent annual decline globally in mail volume since 2009,¹ although declines have been uneven across different markets. The United States Postal Service has observed a 38 percent reduction in single-piece first class mail, its highest-profit offering, over the past five years², forcing lawmakers and the Service's management to reconsider its business plans and look at universal service obligations from a different perspective.

This report outlines major dynamics and strategies characterizing universal service across the world's largest postal markets, comprising 96 percent of global postal revenues, and 70 percent of domestic mail volume, with an emphasis on how they can be expected to impact consumers.

Every national post faces unique demands, obligations and challenges – and so there is no “silver bullet” for restoring postal financial stability. Some countries are enacting tighter regulations and fees in response to changing mail trends, while others are relaxing regulations and fees. But as this report demonstrates, the experience of other national posts can inform decisions going forward, so that countries can build on past successes and avoid missteps.

The three aforementioned best practices shine through as noteworthy trends across this global case study:

Liberalization, where postal markets are opened to outside competition, is an overall trend underway in 13 of the 19 countries examined in this study. The goal of opening markets to outside competition is to lower costs for consumers, while improving service quality. Independent postal regulators have developed different rules and methodologies for allowing competition, and for establishing and monitoring service quality. For example, the European Union's 2008 Third Directive offered sweeping changes designed to open postal markets broadly – to which states have responded in various ways.

The United States, on the other hand, has not made its mail monopoly available to the private sector, but it has effectively liberalized significant portions of its mail stream through establishing “worksharing” arrangements. Worksharing, or offering third parties discounts for mail preparation and transportation, has driven down the cost of doing business, to the observable benefit to consumers in terms of cost and service quality. More broadly, if worksharing were included under the liberalized category, the percent of worldwide postal revenue from non-liberalized posts falls to just 15 percent.

Revenue Generation Flexibility, derived from alternative sources, has been another predominant trend among postal operators. Such non-mail revenue sources include offering banking and insurance services, logistics, internet, and parcel deliveries. Banking and insurance activities have generated the majority of alternative postal revenue, followed by parcel delivery.

Relaxation of the Universal Service Obligation has been another dominant trend of posts and regulators, providing posts flexibility in delivery infrastructure and standards. For reference, Appendix C contains a list of successful practices by posts to re-define the universal service obligation in an acceptable manner to consumers, while reducing costs. Certain countries have implemented universal service funds to help subsidize their posts' universal service obligations, but only 9 of 19 major national posts examined here have taken such actions. These tend to be the smaller countries and operators of those studied. Of these, at the time this report

was published, available evidence indicated that most had not actually begun to collect payments for the fund. In all but three countries examined (Brazil, China and India), universal service funds were created following liberalization, established as short-term mechanisms to support the implementation of liberalized market conditions, particularly the higher cost of delivery to certain locations by the designated operator responsible for the obligation.

Table of Contents

1. United States	1
2. China	3
3. Spain	4
4. Germany	6
5. France	7
6. Japan	7
7. Brazil	8
8. United Kingdom	9
9. Italy	10
10. Portugal	11
11. Argentina	12
12. Chile	12
13. India	13
14. Australia	14
15. Canada	14
16. Netherlands	15
17. New Zealand	15
18. Sweden	16
19. Switzerland	16
Appendix A: Summary of Major National Postal Systems	18
Appendix B: The European Union Experience	19
Appendix C: Practices That Redefine Universal Service Obligations	20
End Notes	21

Introduction

The nineteen countries profiled in this report account for over 250 billion pieces of mail annually, according to statistics from the Universal Postal Union. Collectively, their postal markets are worth more than USD465 billion. Interestingly, they have all addressed the provision of universal service differently.

Some have used revenue derived from the sale of non-postal products to help underwrite the cost of universal service. Others have established funds that subsidize the provision of universal service – and rely on fees paid by carriers that do not provide universal service to seed them. In this latter case, officials must ensure that they clearly define universal service and transparently calculate the cost of providing it so as to guarantee that the fund serves its stated purpose – and doesn't serve simply to subsidize the universal service provider.

As an example, the Third European Postal Directive defines the cost of universal service as “the difference between the net cost for a designated universal service provider of operating with the universal service obligations and the same postal service provider operating without the universal service obligations.” That cost is affected largely by the scope of the universal service obligation, a country's geography and demography, and the nature of the postal market.³

Most economists agree that the cost of the universal service obligation is not equivalent to the profits that a dominant postal operator loses when a marketplace is liberalized; instead, it is the cost of liberalization, or the value of the monopoly.⁴

If universal postal service is to remain an objective in the coming decades, postal operators must determine how to support it as mail volumes continue to decline globally. To that end, other nations' experiences with universal service may be instructive – and are summarized below.

1. United States

The United States Postal Service (USPS) is required to receive, transmit, and deliver all mail matter to and from “as nearly as practicable to the entire population of the United States” at uniform prices, meaning that rates are based on weight, not distance.⁵ Under terms defined by Congress, the USPS currently must provide these services six days a week, adhering to published standards for service quality.

To fulfill its universal service obligation, the Service maintains an extensive network including nearly 32,000 post offices, with an additional 70,000 locations shared with retail partners for basic transactions, including stamp sales.⁶ To reduce operating costs in response to declining mail volume, Postal Service management has adopted changes including network rationalization, consolidation of mail processing facilities, and reduction of delivery routes, producing USD15 billion in annualized savings between 2007-2013.⁷

According to U.S. law, the Postal Service “shall not, except as specifically authorized in this title, make any undue or unreasonable discrimination among users of the mails, nor shall it grant any undue or unreasonable preferences to any such user.”⁸ Books, educational materials, sound recordings, and films must be carried at uniform rates. Library mail enjoys preferred rates, as do non-profits sending advertising, periodicals, and newspapers. Members of Congress, blind customers, and certain members of the armed forces may mail items free of charge.⁹

In response to decreases in revenue and mounting financial obligations, USPS announced in February 2013 that it would reduce mail delivery to five days a week – Monday through Friday – in August 2013. In April 2013, the agency backed off such plans, after its Board of Governors concluded that the law requires mail delivery six days a week.¹⁰

U.S. postal markets are not liberalized, with the Postal Service holding statutory monopolies on both the provision of first-class mail as well as exclusive use of consumers' mailboxes. But postal leadership has

pursued aggressive worksharing and outsourcing strategies to partner with customers and vendors to produce often-substantial reductions in operating costs, both for products within and outside the scope of the postal monopolies. Such agreements are subject to review and oversight by the independent Postal Regulatory Commission to ensure that the size of discounts offered does not exceed savings to the Postal Service resulting from the workshare.

Worksharing frequently takes the form of preparing, presorting or transporting mail according to pre-agreed schedules. One prominent example of worksharing is Parcel Select service for large and medium-sized shippers, who transport packages outside of the Postal Service network and drop them off at a postal facility further down the delivery chain. Shippers are charged based on where a parcel enters the delivery network.

In this context, “USPS has developed numerous competitive product Negotiated Service Agreements; most have covered costs and generated a small but growing portion of total USPS revenue,” concluded the Government Accountability Office in a June 2013 study.¹¹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

USPS is designed to be revenue-neutral, and the bulk of operating costs is paid for by customers through postage stamps and services.¹² The agency also receives only a small taxpayer-funded subsidy. Congress budgets about USD96 million of the Service’s USD65 billion operating budget annually for a “Postal Service Fund” to compensate the agency for postage-free mailing for the legally blind and overseas mail-in ballots.¹³

USPS maintains a legal monopoly on letter delivery and holds exclusive rights of access to Americans’ mailboxes. Both monopolies are provided for by the Private Express Statutes and are in place ostensibly to help pay for universal service.¹⁴

Letters may be carried privately if the price for doing so is six times the going rate for a single-piece first-class letter or if the letter weighs more than 12.5 ounces.¹⁵

Federal law prohibits the Postal Service from offering nonpostal services.¹⁶ As of January 2013, the Postal Service was pursuing 55 new initiatives to generate revenue, such as enhanced Post Office Box services and prepaid postage on greeting cards.¹⁷ But these are expected to prove insufficient to cover operating expenses, creating a bleak financial situation under its current business plan. The Postal Service incurred a USD15.9 billion net loss in fiscal year 2012, reaching its statutory USD15 billion borrowing limit.¹⁸ As of this writing, little consensus among lawmakers exists to remedy the situation.

Quality of Service

USPS claims on its website that it “has maintained and even improved service levels while keeping rising postage rates in line with inflation” despite increases in the number of people it serves and decreases in household size.¹⁹ Surveys have repeatedly shown that most Americans are generally satisfied with USPS’s services.²⁰

Quality-of-service targets for First Class Mail in the United States depend on distance.²¹ If a piece of mail’s number of days to delivery is equal or less than its service standard, USPS is considered to have met its commitment.²²

The FY2013 Annual Targets for overnight, two-day, and three-to-five day commitments are 96.7 percent, 95.1 percent, and 95 percent, respectively.²³ In Quarter 2 of FY2013, the USPS had 96.1 percent of single-piece mail delivered within its overnight commitment, 95 percent of single-piece mail delivered within a two-day commitment, and 90.8 percent of single-piece mail delivered within a three-to-five day commitment.²⁴

Additional Information

In a 2013 research report on 26 of the world's major postal service providers, Accenture placed USPS's strategic approach in the "traditionalist" category, which includes approaches that are primarily focused on improving the efficiency of mail and have a national focus.²⁵ While individual organizations' rankings were not disclosed, the report noted that "nearly every traditionalist in [the] study slipped in the rankings" and "fail[ed] to deliver revenue growth."²⁶

2. China

State-owned China Post has the obligation of providing universal service in China.²⁷ China Post states that its universal services cover "letters, printed papers, parcels, and remittances" in addition to state correspondence, correspondence for the compulsory servicemen and other areas.²⁸

China Post also holds a legal monopoly on mail and parcels weighing 350 grams or less.²⁹ The amended 2009 Postal Law of the People's Republic of China states that universal service shall include "delivery of correspondence, printed matters not heavier than 5 kilograms each and parcels not heavier than 10 kg each and the postal remittance."³⁰ A World Trade Organization document observed that "correspondence" also includes "express delivery services."³¹ Foreign-invested express delivery companies were not prohibited under the law, except for "certain private letters."³² The same 2010 WTO report noted that, "The legal office of the State Council is working on the examination and amendment of the Provisions on the exclusive operation scope of the postal enterprises (draft for review) submitted by the State Post Bureau."³³

The Law also mandates that in urban areas, postal enterprises must be open six days a week and deliver mail at least once a day. In townships and towns, postal enterprises must be open at least five days a week and deliver at least five times a week.³⁴ Letter mailing costs are dependent on weight and delivery distance. In other words, rates differ for intra-city and inter-city delivery.³⁵ Local delivery mail typically costs 0.6 yuan, while inter-city mail costs 0.8 yuan.³⁶

According to the Universal Postal Union, which cites China's twelfth five-year plan for postal development, "China will have built a moderate and sustainable universal postal service system covering both urban and rural areas and benefiting the mass public" by 2015.³⁷

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

China's 2009 Postal Law dictates that the state "shall subsidize the postal enterprises which provide universal postal services" and establish a universal postal service fund.³⁸ Because China keeps its stamp prices artificially low, mail services require state subsidies.³⁹

China Post also operates several non-mail services such as savings banks, remittance transfers, Internet banking, and logistics services.⁴⁰ Since 2003, China Post has had authority to manage deposits on its own, independent of state banks. It also has authority to issue national and local bonds. It immediately began using this authority to leverage its vast network of 47,000 service outlets. In 2012, China Post had 4 trillion yuan (USD657 billion) in total deposits. Postal remittances are a second major line of financial services business by China Post.⁴¹ Revenues from the Group's combined financial businesses reached 52.371 billion yuan (USD 8.6 billion) in 2012, a 13.5 percent increase over the previous year.⁴²

In 2010, China Post launched a partnership with China Post Life Insurance Company to sell small-amount insurance products within China, the next major step in diversifying its financial services.⁴³ In 2012, it signed a strategic cooperation agreement with the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China.⁴⁴

As of April 2013, Chinese postal authorities had prepared a draft regulation that would impose a 0.1-yuan surcharge on each city express package and 0.2-yuan surcharge on inter-city express parcels on private and

foreign parcel carriers. Additional fees of 1 yuan per parcel sent to Hong Kong, Macao or Taiwan, and 2 yuan for each overseas parcel would also be levied, although companies with fewer than 20 employees or with annual revenues of less than 2 million yuan would be exempted.⁴⁵ Although details were unclear, it appeared that it was the government's intention for the proceeds to be applied to subsidize China Post's unprofitable mail and postcard services, particularly in remote areas.⁴⁶

Quality of Service

China Post's 2012 Annual Report does not provide statistics on standard transit time targets or performance but states that "we have exerted ourselves to further improve our service conditions, seriously implement the obligations of universal service, try our best to improve the quality of our service, and increasingly promote the overall image of the Group."⁴⁷ The report cited a third-party survey of 500,000 customers, which estimated an overall satisfaction rate for postal services of 88.39 percent, a fraction of a percentage point above the previous figure.⁴⁸ China Post also observed that 77 percent of respondents felt the overall service was higher than the previous year.⁴⁹

In its 2010 annual report, China Post maintains that "universal service was provided earnestly, the quality of confidential correspondence enjoyed all-around excellence for three consecutive years, circulation of newspapers and journals maintained steady increase, and the safe delivery of ordinary correspondence for the compulsory servicemen and literatures for the blind was effectively guaranteed."

In late 2012, China Post signed a strategic cooperation with the Yunnan provincial government, located in the country's far Southwest region, to speed up the construction of postal infrastructure and network.⁵⁰

Additionally, China Post claims that its number of complaints in 2010 fell by 22.6 percent on a yearly basis.⁵¹ A blog post by the USPS Office of Inspector General's Risk Analysis Research Center stated, "By the end of 2015, the China Post Group plans to extend universal service to all villages," which implies that the entirety of the Chinese population does not yet receive universal postal service.⁵²

3. Spain

Correos is the designated universal service provider in Spain. A 2010 law granted it such status for 15 years. Universal service applies to letters and postcards up to 2 kilograms, parcels up to 10 kg, and registered and insured items. These items must be delivered five days a week.⁵³

Correos' standard transit time is within three working days. Ninety-three percent of mail must meet this standard – and 96.1 percent does.⁵⁴

While the combined market share for private providers is growing, it remains near 10 percent. A 2011 report noted that more than 500 licenses for the provision of universal services had been granted, and over 2,600 general authorizations for other postal services including parcel delivery, unaddressed direct mail and periodical delivery.⁵⁵ Noteworthy is the fact that Germany's Deutsche Post holds a significant stake in the largest private provider, Unipost.

In 2007, Correos said that it would stop delivering to houses 250 meters or more from a main road. The postal operator forecast the move as a response to the liberalization of the country's postal market – and the challenges it would face maintaining universal service in such an environment. Rural customers would be obliged to retrieve their mail from centralized community letterboxes.⁵⁶

Spanish regulators defended the move as consistent with service standards enshrined into law, which provided for an exception for "isolated houses or homes that are situated in environments characterized as dispersed, which are placed more than 250 meters from the public road, which is used by anyone of the public service sector."⁵⁷

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Correos is responsible for calculating the cost of universal service “according to the norms dictated by Spain’s postal regulator.” These calculations must then be externally audited according to a methodology developed by the European Commission on the Universal Postal Service in the European Union.⁵⁸ The audit is a significant step to prevent the use of universal service fund proceeds from subsidizing other Correos business functions, such as courier and express delivery services.

In 2006, a Royal Decree established that an operator seeking access to the postal system would negotiate terms directly with Correos based on certain ground rules.⁵⁹

Spanish postal law established a dedicated universal service fund in 2011. If the contents of that fund are not sufficient to offset the cost of providing universal service, the law dictates that the Spanish government will fill the gap.⁶⁰

Under the terms of the law, postal operators with annual revenue on products within the universal service area above 50,000 euros will have to contribute 0.5 percent of net revenues to the fund. The designated universal service operator is exempt.⁶¹

The Spanish government allocates funds to Correos “towards the accounting cost of the universal service obligation.” In 2005, the Spanish regulatory authority calculated the cost of the universal service obligation at 221 million euros. The government provided 41 percent of that sum – 91 million euros. In 2006, 93 million euros was earmarked for this purpose, and in 2007, 95 million euros.⁶² These amounts stand as advances on the actual cost of universal service; government officials reconcile these payments with the actual cost of universal service at the end of each year.⁶³

Quality of Service

Standard transit time for letters in Spain is delivery on the third working day after posting (D+3).⁶⁴ While no priority class exists, Spain’s universal service provider, Correos, offers an express product with a transit time of one day after posting.⁶⁵

From 2001 to 2006, service-quality targets for Correos were 90 percent of letter delivery D+3. Targets were raised to 92 percent in 2008 and to 93 percent in 2009. In the early 2000s, Correos did not meet these transit-time targets, but through reorganization of its transport network and investments in sorting technology, the postal service eventually met these targets in 2010, delivering 96.1 percent of letters within three working days and 70 percent of letters within one working day.^{66, 67}

Additional Information

The universal service fund seems to be intrinsically linked to the liberalization process of the country’s postal marketplace, established to help underwrite the cost of universal service. Spain’s regulator has clearly defined universal service in its liberalized marketplace, which now includes operators that do not bear the universal service obligation competing against an entity that does. Unipost, a network of private postal and delivery operators, had gained a market share of 12 percent by 2010, collecting and sorting mail while using Correos for last-mile delivery.⁶⁸

The universal service definition and transparent system for calculating the cost of providing it are foundational toward ensuring the fund’s purpose of actually supporting universal service, rather than simply subsidizing a favored postal operator.

4. Germany

In Germany, universal postal service covers letter mail up to 2 kilograms, insured items, registered mail, express mail, cash on delivery, postal packages up to 20 kg and press items such as newspapers and magazines.⁶⁹ However, delivery of books, catalogs, newspapers and magazines is only considered a postal service if provided “in conjunction with a letter or parcel post.”⁷⁰ All single-piece and bulk-mail services are part of universal postal service.⁷¹ No requirement exists for uniform rates but rates must be affordable.

The Universal Postal Service Ordinance defines tariffs as affordable if the price of a set of universal services purchased by an average household does not exceed the real price paid by an average household on December 31, 1997.⁷²

German postal law does not impose an obligation to provide universal service on a specific operator and instead assumes that all operators will provide universal service.⁷³ If the German postal regulator – the Federal Network Agency for Electricity, Gas, Telecommunications, Post, and Railway, or Bundesnetzagentur (BNetzA) – finds cases where universal service obligations are not being met, it can issue orders to the dominant postal operator – currently Deutsche Post – to provide universal service or contract with other postal operators to do so.⁷⁴

Deutsche Post has committed itself to delivering letters and parcels six days a week.⁷⁵ Under the Universal Postal Service Ordinance, “there shall be a minimum of one delivery per working day.”⁷⁶

According to German law, 80 percent of mail must be delivered next day. Eighty percent of parcels must be delivered within two days.⁷⁷

Universal service also dictates that urban customers must not be more than one kilometer from a mailbox, that there be one post office in every municipality with more than 2,000 people, that there be a post office less than two kilometers away in every municipality with more than 4,000 inhabitants, and that there be one post office per every 80 square kilometers in all administrative districts.⁷⁸

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Most of Deutsche Post’s revenue comes from its delivery of letters and parcels. Deutsche Post also gains revenue through its online marketing agencies.⁷⁹ Universal service in Germany has been funded in part by state subsidies.⁸⁰ The German government has relieved Deutsche Post of 37 billion euros of pension obligations since 1995 and also handed over real estate to Deutsche Post, which it sold to raise cash.⁸¹

While BNetzA has the authority to establish a universal service fund, it has not done so because it finds that the market currently provides sufficient levels of universal service.⁸²

Quality of Service

During the last ten years, Deutsche Post has delivered at least 90 percent of letters the next working day (D+1), thus consistently meeting the legal target of at least 80 percent (D+1).⁸³ In 2009 and 2010, a new sorting and delivery routine resulted in “a slight deterioration of services,” causing transit time figures to sink below 95 percent.⁸⁴

A report by WIK Consult described Deutsche Post’s transit time performance as being “stable on a high level” (D+1: >94 percent in 2010).⁸⁵

Additional Information

According to a paper published by George Mason University, BNetzA “monitors universal service permanently” and “has found no indications that the universal service was at risk at any time and no need for external funding to maintain universal service.”⁸⁶

By 2009, new entrants to the liberalized postal marketplace had gained a 10 percent market share, consisting largely of unaddressed mail.⁸⁷

In a study of the German postal market, the U.S. Postal Regulatory Commission (PRC) noted that privatization of Deutsche Post has “had a positive impact on universal service.”⁸⁸ Mail volumes have grown, and Deutsche Post has been able to cut costs – thereby achieving substantial profitability. Prices have decreased for private customers and businesses. According to the PRC report, German regulators believe that universal service in their country has not been at risk and does not require external funding to underwrite it.⁸⁹

5. France

The universal service obligation in France requires mail carrier La Poste to provide “national and cross-border letter delivery of items 2 kilograms or less, parcel deliveries for items up to 20 kilograms, and recorded deliveries and declared value consignments.”⁹⁰ La Poste claims that its delivery and collection services are offered at accessible prices and guaranteed on all working days – six days a week, except under exceptional circumstances.⁹¹ Universal service in France extends to the provision of the other public services La Poste offers as well, principally its financial services.⁹²

Eighty-five percent of priority domestic mail must be delivered next day; no more than 5 percent can be delivered more than two days after mailing.⁹³ More than 90 percent of parcels must be delivered within two days and more than 95 percent within three days.

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

In February 2010, France established a universal service compensation fund.⁹⁴ It will become active “once the regulatory authority notes that La Poste bears an unfair financial burden attributable to its universal service obligations,” according to the Universal Postal Union.⁹⁵ Licensed postal operators will have to contribute to the fund according to the number of postal items within the universal-service area that they carry.⁹⁶ La Poste also receives subsidies mostly in the form of tax exemptions and “an unlimited line of credit with the French government.”⁹⁷ The government also provides regional funding to help maintain the existing post office network.⁹⁸

La Poste uses revenue from non-mail services such as its postal banking system to help maintain universal service.⁹⁹ La Poste’s bank performs retail banking as well as home mortgages. Its net banking income was 5.215 billion euros in 2010 with credit outstanding over 6.1 billion euros by December of that year.¹⁰⁰ La Poste’s financial services business accounts for nearly a quarter of annual revenue.¹⁰¹

Quality of Service

In 2011, 87.2 percent of priority letters were delivered the next day after posting, surpassing the 85 percent target in the public-service contract “and four points more than in 2010.”¹⁰²

Green Letters, which take two days for delivery rather than one, are “extremely reliable” according to La Poste, which delivered 95 percent of Green Letters within 48 hours in 2011.¹⁰³

6. Japan

Universal service in Japan is defined as the collection of mail items from post boxes seven days a week and delivery of all letters up to 4 kilograms to all households and companies six days a week.^{104, 105} These services must be provided to “all the population without discrimination and at rates as low as possible.”¹⁰⁶ Additionally, ordinary mail is to be delivered within three days after posting by customers.¹⁰⁷ Universal service in Japan also

requires that academic publications and agricultural seeds be considered discounted mail.¹⁰⁸ As of October 2007, parcel post delivery has been excluded from universal service.¹⁰⁹ Japan Post is the designated universal service provider.

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Postal services provided by Japan Post operate at a loss, but universal service is partially underwritten by revenue from Japan Post's other services, which include banking and life insurance.¹¹⁰ Japan Post runs one of the world's largest retail banks.¹¹¹

Quality of Service

Japan Post reported that in 2012, 98.6 percent of mail was delivered nationwide "within the number of days prescribed in the Postal Delivery Time Table."¹¹² Within the same prefecture, 99 percent of mail was delivered within the prescribed time.¹¹³ To a neighboring prefecture, 97.8 percent of mail was delivered on time,¹¹⁴ and to other prefectures, 98.1 percent of mail.¹¹⁵

Additional Information

In a 2008 paper, the Japan Postal Group Union noted that the universal postal service could be on the edge of crisis due to the decline in regular mail volume of about 2-5 percent a year.¹¹⁶

Japan Post offers delivery services through convenience stores, thereby enabling delivery 24 hours a day, seven days a week without significantly increasing operating costs.¹¹⁷

7. Brazil

Only the state-owned company Correios de Brasil, also known as ECT, has the universal service obligation in Brazil.¹¹⁸ In January 2012, the Brazilian government imposed delivery targets requiring ECT to deliver 95 percent of letters within five working days and 90 percent within two days. Additionally, 95 percent of printed non-urgent materials must arrive within 10 working days and 90 percent within four days.¹¹⁹

Postal services in Brazil are under federal government monopoly, and courier services are permitted to be conducted by entities legally established in Brazil.¹²⁰

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Each postal operator must contribute 0.5 percent of its revenues to a fund for postal service universalization.¹²¹ ECT currently holds a monopoly on traditional letter mail, small parcels, telegrams, and special mail bags. Express mail, packages, newspapers, and magazines are open to competition.¹²² According to a 2000 paper published by George Washington University, ECT had not received direct subsidies since 1986.¹²³

ECT has also adopted strategies to diversify revenue sources to fund its activities. A partnership with Banco do Brasil allows some 6,000 post offices to offer financial services to consumers, including access to credit and bill payment.¹²⁴

Quality of Service

In 2012, Brazil's state-owned Post and Telegraph Company (ECT) imposed delivery targets requiring that 95 percent of letters and post cards – "basic and recorded" – arrive within five working days and 90 percent arrive within two working days.¹²⁵ In January and February 2012, ECT surpassed both these target levels, delivering 96.1 percent of basic and recorded mail within five working days and 95.7 percent of letters within two working days.¹²⁶

ECT also imposed a 2012 target requiring that 90 percent of printed non-urgent materials arrive within four working days and that 95 percent be delivered within ten working days.¹²⁷ In January and February 2012, ECT exceeded this target, achieving a 94.8 percent rate for the delivery of non-urgent materials within four working days.¹²⁸

However, ECT failed to meet its 2012 telegram delivery target of delivering 95 percent of telegrams within four hours, with January and February 2012 seeing a 94.33 percent average.¹²⁹

A World Bank analysis from 2004 observed that ECT, with a 98 percent satisfaction measure, has the highest rating among Brazilian public services.¹³⁰

Additional Information

At the time of the World Bank's report, ECT Brazil was undergoing a commercialization process that expanded its product offerings through joint ventures and introduced financial services to populations that previously did not have access to them.¹³¹ ECT partnered with Brazil's largest bank, Banco Bradesco, to establish a highly successful venture, Banco Brazil Postal, which offers "deposits, loans, credit cards, bill payment, direct deposit, tax and social security payments and phone/internet banking."¹³²

8. United Kingdom

The Postal Services Act requires Royal Mail to deliver letters six days a week – Monday through Saturday. Parcels up to 20 kilograms must be delivered five days a week – Monday through Friday. Both categories of mail must be priced at a uniform tariff "to every personal or business address" in the United Kingdom.^{133, 134} On its website, Royal Mail states that under the Postal Services Act 2011, it is required to deliver and collect letters every Monday through Saturday to and from every address in the United Kingdom at an affordable uniform tariff.¹³⁵

Royal Mail is responsible for providing priority and non-priority mail services, non-priority parcel service for packages up to 20 kg, registered and insured services, support services such as mail forwarding, and international outbound service.¹³⁶ Royal Mail is also obliged to provide free-of-charge postal service to the blind and provide free carriage of legislative petitions and addresses.¹³⁷

As of August 2011, Royal Mail is no longer obliged to deliver bulk mail to meet its universal service obligation.¹³⁸ Additionally, Royal Mail is required to deliver 93 percent of First Class mail by the next day and 98.5 percent of Second Class mail within three days.¹³⁹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Universal service and access products make up approximately 60 percent of Royal Mail's letter revenues.¹⁴⁰ Marketing mail and counter services also contribute to Royal Mail's revenue.¹⁴¹

Quality of Service

UK postal regulator Ofcom established a First Class retail Quality of Service target of 93 percent delivery the next working day – the highest of all major EU countries, according to Royal Mail.^{142,143} Royal Mail missed this target in 2012, achieving 92.7 percent First Class retail Quality of Service performance.¹⁴⁴

The Second Class retail Quality of Service target for postal packets up to 1 kilogram is 98.5 percent delivery within three working days. In 2012, Royal Mail exceeded this target with a 98.7 percent performance.¹⁴⁵

Additional Information

A government-sponsored independent review conducted in 2008 and updated in 2010 of the UK's postal services stated that universal postal service in the United Kingdom as of 2010 was "still under serious threat."¹⁴⁶ The review attributed the worsening financial health of Royal Mail to the decline in Royal Mail's market share, the failure of the company to modernize, the accounting pension deficit increase, and the current regulatory regime.¹⁴⁷

Ofcom, the independent regulator and competition authority for the UK's communications industries, noted that the risks to Royal Mail's ability to provide universal service are "considerable" because of declining mail volumes and thus increasing average unit costs.¹⁴⁸ Royal Mail claims that current regulations create opportunities for "cream skimming" since mailing costs are not geographically based.¹⁴⁹ According to an independent review sponsored by the British government, "Royal Mail is less efficient than its competitors and many of its European counterparts."¹⁵⁰

9. Italy

Poste Italiane is Italy's designated universal service provider and must guarantee mail delivery to all addresses within Italy and mail collection no less than five times a week.¹⁵¹ Mail weighing up to two kilograms and parcels weighing up to 20 kg are subject to the universal service obligation.¹⁵²

Poste Italiane is also obligated by the Italian postal regulator AGCOM to reach quality-of-service targets, such as delivery of priority mail within one day, international inbound and outbound mail within three days, registered mail within three days, and insured mail within three days.¹⁵³

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

In 2012, 60.1 percent of Poste Italiane's revenue came from financial services through its BancoPosta arm, 37.5 percent came from mail and stamp sales, and 1.4 percent came from express delivery and parcels.¹⁵⁴ BancoPosta offers services such as the collection of public deposits, "payment services, foreign currency trading . . . loans, investment and insurance"¹⁵⁵ as well as mutual funds, logistics, Internet access, mobile phone services, and passenger charters on its small airline.¹⁵⁶

Italy created a compensation fund designed to finance universal service in 1999.¹⁵⁷ Its actual contributions to universal service are insignificant.¹⁵⁸ Service providers that hold universal service licenses transfer a percentage of their revenues generated by projects subject to universal service to the fund, but these revenues often do not fully cover the expenses Poste Italiane faces as the universal service provider.¹⁵⁹ Postal operators' contribution to the universal service obligation financing is proportional to their turnover.¹⁶⁰ The maximum rate allowed by law is 10 percent, but the current rate is 3 percent.¹⁶¹

The Italian government also contributes 7 to 8 percent of mail revenue to Poste Italiane to help offset the cost of the universal service obligation.¹⁶² In 2005, the state gave Poste Italiane 359 million euros, which was equivalent to 55 percent of the deficit the post realized as a result of the universal service obligation.¹⁶³

Quality of Service

Poste Italiane established a service-quality target of 89.0 percent delivery of Priority Mail within one day.¹⁶⁴ In 2012, Poste Italiane achieved 92.9 percent performance in Priority Mail.

Standard parcels, which are also delivered under the universal service obligation, have a service-quality target of 94 percent delivery within three days, which Poste Italiane exceeded in 2012 with actual performance of 96.8 percent.¹⁶⁵

10. Portugal

State-owned Correios de Portugal, S.A. (CTT) is Portugal's designated universal service provider until 2020.¹⁶⁶ As of April 2012, universal service included "correspondence items (excluding direct mail), catalogues, books, newspapers and other periodicals weighing up to 2 kilograms," registered and insured items, parcels sent from within the national territory weighing up to 10 kilograms, and parcels received from other EU states weighing up to 20 kilograms.^{167, 168} CTT must provide services including the "issue and sale of postage stamps and postage products, postal orders" as well as postal boxes for collection in areas of public access, and "the public service of electronic mail boxes."¹⁶⁹

The concession contract for the universal postal service with CTT imposes a minimum of "at least one collection . . . and one home delivery, every working day" with exceptions for areas with geographic difficulties.¹⁷⁰

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

If the Portuguese postal regulatory authority Autoridade Nacional de Comunicações (ANACOM) determines that the universal service obligations result in an "excessive and financial burden" for the universal service provider, the costs of universal service may be compensated.¹⁷¹

The compensation fund created under the Basic Postal Law and the Concession Contract would receive income from all postal service providers "offering services in the non-reserved area but within the scope of the universal service" as well as from "profits of philatelic activity."¹⁷² However, it is not clear whether such a compensation fund is in operation.

Though Portugal's postal market was liberalized in April 2012, CTT retains the benefits of being the sole provider of registered mail services for legal documents.¹⁷³

Quality of Service

In 2010, Portugal's postal regulatory authority, ANACOM, established a minimum of 93.5 percent and a target of 94.5 percent of priority mail reaching destinations on the mainland within one working day.¹⁷⁴ In 2011, universal service provider CTT exceeded the service-quality target, delivering 94.7 percent of priority single-piece mail within one working day.¹⁷⁵

ANACOM established a 2011 target of 96.3 percent delivery of non-priority mail within three days.¹⁷⁶ CTT surpassed this target in 2011, delivering 96.8 percent of non-priority mail within three days.¹⁷⁷

Another metric used to evaluate quality of service is the percentage of non-priority mail not delivered within 15 working days. In 2010, Portugal did not deliver 1.9 percent of non-priority mail within 15 working days, against a 1.4 percent target.¹⁷⁸

In April 2011, ANACOM reported that since 1995 (except in 2003 and 2006, when CTT faced worker strikes), overall levels of quality of service have exceeded targets established under the Quality Convention of the Universal Postal Service.¹⁷⁹ However, the number of postal complaints received by ANACOM also increased 22 percent between the first half of 2009 and the first half of 2010. These complaints mostly concerned "customer service, lack of effort to deliver to home, loss, delivery to wrong address and delayed delivery."¹⁸⁰

Additional Information

With internet communication a major factor in diminishing mail volumes worldwide, Portugal has one of the lowest rates of internet usage in Europe. With only 33 out of 100 Portuguese having regular broadband internet access in 2007, only Greece and Bulgaria had fewer among European Union members.¹⁸¹

In addition to its postal service, CTT offers express mail and parcels, financial, and document services.^{182, 183}

11. Argentina

The Argentine postal market is fully liberalized.¹⁸⁴ Correo Argentino was privatized in 1997 and re-nationalized in 2003.¹⁸⁵

State-owned Correo Argentino S.A. fulfills the obligations associated with universal service in Argentina. Executive orders establish that universal postal service includes “regular letters of up to 20 grams per unit, regular telegrams of up to 20 words, and post office wires for up to \$1,000.”¹⁸⁶ Rates cannot exceed the rates charged by la Empresa Nacional de Correos y Telégrafos S.A. (ENCOTESA), which as of 2009, amount to 75 cents for a letter weighing up to 20 grams.^{187, 188}

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

The universal service provider may be compensated by the National Treasury if the provider is required to deliver to a region where the provision of services is not financially viable.¹⁸⁹

It is not clear whether universal service in Argentina is cross-subsidized. Correo Argentino raises revenue from non-postal services such as logistics services for electronic commerce, telegraphic services, and money transfer services.¹⁹⁰

Quality of Service

The UNI Global Union described the Argentina postal service as having “low to medium overall quality.”¹⁹¹ A 2007 audit by the National Communications Commission (CNC) of the three largest postal operators, Correo Argentino, OCA, and Andreani, revealed that 8.1 percent of postal pieces were lost.¹⁹² Standard average delivery time among these postal operators was 2.15 days but the actual average delivery time was 3.76 days.¹⁹³

Audits conducted in 2007 by CNC revealed “serious shortcomings” in Argentina’s postal services. The analysis estimated that 8.1 percent of postal pieces did not reach their destination, “with no significant difference” between the results for the official postal service and those for the two major private postal operators, OCA and Andreani.¹⁹⁴ The results obtained reveal a “low to medium” overall quality postal service in Argentina.

An Argentina travel guide noted that though the reliability of Correo Argentino has vastly improved since privatization in the 1990s, the service is still “plagued by theft and loss.”¹⁹⁵

Additional Information

The Argentine postal regulatory authority Comisión Nacional de Comunicaciones (CNC) requires postal operators to register with the National Register of Postal Operators in Argentina and pay an annual license fee of 5,000 pesos (USD930). CNC is also required to audit the quality standards of registered postal operators.¹⁹⁶

Argentina was both the largest importer and exporter of postal and courier services in Latin America in 2007, valued at USD51 million and USD76 million, respectively.¹⁹⁷

12. Chile

Correos de Chile, also known as CorreosChile, is the designated universal service provider in Chile. Universal service requirements are unclear, but CorreosChile currently delivers ordinary mail three days per week.¹⁹⁸

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

CorreosChile charges both the sender and recipient.¹⁹⁹ The “distribucion y carteros” fee amounts to 30 pesos, or roughly 5 U.S. cents. The postman can negotiate a monthly fee with the customer based on the number of letters the customer receives.²⁰⁰

Additional Information

Correos de Chile was transformed from a public administration to a statutory corporation in 1982,²⁰¹ and has “long suffered from operational problems and poor customer satisfaction.”²⁰² According to a 2003 study by SkyPostal, 61.7 percent of mail delivered by Correos reaches its destination within 30 days of posting.²⁰³

Since 2011, Correos has adopted new technologies such as parcel tracking and automated terminals, which helped it increase operating income by 62 percent in 2012. Overall revenue grew by 9 percent last year.²⁰⁴

In January 2012, the Chilean postal service reported that it would open 15 new post offices to “expand access to postal services from mail and parcel delivery to money orders.”²⁰⁵ In June 2013, the company partnered with U.S. firm MoneyGram to offer international money transfer services.²⁰⁶

Quality of Service

According to a 2003 study by SkyPostal, 61.7 percent of mail delivered by Correos reaches its destination within 30 days of posting.²⁰⁷ The average transit time for a letter delivered by Correos is more than nine days.²⁰⁸

13. India

India Post operates the world’s largest postal network, with over 155,000 post offices, 90 percent of which are in rural areas. Each post office served an average of 7,200 people over an area of 21 square kilometers.²⁰⁹

India Post is a government-owned entity organized within the Ministry of Communications and Information Technology’s Department of Posts. While there have been proposals for postal reform discussed in India’s parliament, most significantly in 2002 and 2006, they did not become law and the provision of postal services continues to be governed by the Post Office Act of 1898.²¹⁰

Broad-based modernization plans for the network have been widely discussed for India Post, some of which have been underway across the network. Recently, officials announced that its express parcel post service would introduce an online delivery tracking system, as well as a new plan to airlift domestic parcels.²¹¹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Increasingly, India Post has offered nonpostal products and services for sale, which provide additional revenue sources to support its network. Financial services have been the most significant of these, with small consumer savings instruments as well as domestic and international cash remittances. Some of these vehicles earn between 4-9 percent tax-free, and the Post’s Public Provident Fund, currently the highest-yielding of these, has some 2.3 million investors.²¹² New fast money transfer services, offered at lower costs than normal electronic money orders, were introduced at select post offices this year.²¹³

Logistics Post, a division of India Post, offers business-to-business logistics solutions that include warehousing services, order processing and fulfillment services, return logistics, multi-modal transport solutions and tailored solutions including full truckload and less-than-truckload shipping.²¹⁴

In addition to these strategies for revenue diversification, India Post has considered instituting a Universal Service Obligation fund. Proposed legislation drafted in 2006 would have required private carriers to contribute approximately 10 percent of their revenues to compensate India Post for fulfilling its universal service obligation. The proposal, which was never approved, would also have imposed a government-determined fair wage rate and mandated that they adopt India Post’s cost structure.²¹⁵

14. Australia

Australia Post must abide by a set of Community Service Obligations (CSOs), which specify a minimum set of services and performance standards.^{216, 217} The Australian Postal Corporation Act of 1989 requires that Australia Post provide service for both domestic and international letter traffic at a single uniform rate for standard letters.²¹⁸ Mail services must be available to all citizens in Australia, Christmas Island, and the Cocos (Keeling) Islands.²¹⁹ Parcel services are not among Australia Post's CSOs.²²⁰

Mail must be delivered five days a week to 98 percent of delivery points and no less than twice a week to 99.7 percent of delivery points.²²¹ Australia Post must maintain a minimum of 4,000 post offices, of which 2,500 must be located in rural and remote areas.²²²

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

In Australia, letters below 250 grams are protected from competition. Revenue from the reserved area is used to finance universal service.²²³ The reserved area covers domestic and incoming international mail, "including Direct Mail up to 250 g or four times the standard postage rate."²²⁴ Cross-subsidies of non-reserved services are not permitted.²²⁵

Additional Information

Australia Post's CSOs specify the following delivery timetable targets: 94 percent delivery within one day of posting in cities and between cities in the same state, 94 percent delivery within two days of posting in rural areas within one state and between capital cities in different states, 94 percent delivery within three days between cities in different states if one of them is not the capital city, and 94 percent delivery within four days in other cases.²²⁶

Australia Post stated that against a service target of 94.0 percent on-time delivery of non-bulk letters, it achieved a 95.6 percent performance rate in 2011-12.²²⁷

15. Canada

In Canada, universal postal service covers letter mail up to 2 kilograms, parcels up to 20 kg, and newspapers up to 2 kg.²²⁸ The Canadian Postal Service Charter requires that Canada Post deliver mail five days a week to every Canadian address at a uniform and fair price.²²⁹ The charter also mandates that Canada Post deliver letter mail "within a community within two business days," "within a province within three business days," and "between provinces within four business days."²³⁰ The Canadian postal market has not been liberalized.

While standard-sized letters require only one stamp regardless of destination, the price to send parcels depends on distance.²³¹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

According to CEP News' Media Reference Guide, "profits are supposed to allow for self-financing of the universal service."²³² No compensation is available or in operation, but upon request, and with the consent of the Governor of Council, the universal service provider may receive subsidies.²³³

Additional Information

Canada Post has the following letter delivery standards: two business days for local deliveries, three business days for deliveries within the same province, and four business days for national deliveries.²³⁴ On-time letter mail delivery performance in 2012 improved significantly over 2011, with a 95.9 percent on-time delivery rate in 2012, compared to a 91.2 percent on-time delivery rate in 2011.²³⁵

In 2010, Canada Post achieved a 96 percent rate of on-time delivery of letters, with an “on-time” designation ranging from two to four business days.²³⁶

16. Netherlands

PostNL is the Netherlands’ designated universal service provider, in a market which is fully liberalized following European Union Directives. PostNL is obligated by the Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets (ACM) to deliver all items of correspondence with a maximum individual weight of 2 kilograms, postal parcels with a maximum individual weight of 10 kg, and “registered, registered insured, and registered value declared items.”²³⁷ PostNL is not required to offer domestic services for the “delivery of bulk letters, bulk printed matter such as advertising, magazines and newspapers or unaddressed mail items.”²³⁸

The Dutch Postal Act 2009 requires PostNL to provide nationwide delivery six days a week with exception to holidays.²³⁹ PostNL must deliver no less than 95 percent of letters by the day after posting.²⁴⁰

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

PostNL finances the universal service itself.²⁴¹ Universal service is provided without any compensation aside from the senders’ payment for postage.²⁴² Though a universal service fund is legally possible, no such funds have been planned since the Dutch regulator has not detected the need for such compensation.²⁴³

Additional Information

PostNL is required to deliver “no less than 95 percent of all standard single rate domestic letters at a next-day service standard, excluding Sundays and public holidays.”²⁴⁴ According to a WIK Consult report, PostNL’s transit time performance has “constantly fulfilled its transit time target of 95 percent for delivery of letters the next working day.”²⁴⁵

PostNL resulted from the 2011 demerger of TNT N.V. into two separate components: PostNL for mail and TNT Express.²⁴⁶

17. New Zealand

In October 2013, New Zealand’s government announced that its postal service would be permitted to deliver mail as infrequently as three days a week to most customers starting in 2015.²⁴⁷ The New Zealand government requires that New Zealand Post provide a “minimum level of national services.”²⁴⁸ According to the Deed of Understanding 1998, New Zealand Post must provide “six day per week deliveries to more than 95 percent of delivery points,” “five or six day per week deliveries to more than 99.88 percent of delivery points,” and “one to four day per week deliveries to the remainder of delivery points.”²⁴⁹ The Deed does not describe the scope of mail products that New Zealand Post must deliver.

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

New Zealand has fully liberalized postal markets, and New Zealand Post “does not receive a public subsidy or payments from a universal service fund.”²⁵⁰ New Zealand Post may not cross-subsidize its commercial ventures with mail revenues, according to the law.²⁵¹

Additional Information

In the 2011-12 year, New Zealand Post achieved six day postal delivery for 97.24 percent of New Zealand addresses against an obligation of over 95 percent.²⁵²

The FastPost delivery transit time target is delivery the next working day between major towns and cities across New Zealand.²⁵³ The Standard Post transit time targets are delivery the next working day for letters whose destination is within the same urban center and delivery within three working days for letters to other destinations within New Zealand.²⁵⁴ Against these transit time targets, New Zealand Post delivered 93.9 percent of FastPost and Standard mail within specification, 99.9 percent within three days of specification, and 0.1 percent more than three days later than specified in 2012.²⁵⁵

18. Sweden

The Swedish government has contracted with PostNord subsidiary Posten AB to fulfill its universal service obligation.²⁵⁶ In this fully liberalized market, this enables “all residents of Sweden to receive letters and other addressed mail items weighing up to 20 kilograms.”²⁵⁷ Delivery is from and to all addresses Monday through Friday.²⁵⁸ As the universal service provider, Posten AB must convey single letters at uniform and reasonable rates and maintain a network of physical postal counters.²⁵⁹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

The universal service provider does not receive public funding.²⁶⁰ There is no legal mechanism for financing of the universal service obligation since government bodies have decided that Posten AB’s designation as the universal service provider is sufficient to fund the service obligation.^{261, 262}

Additional Information

The Postal Statutes specify that at least 85 percent of first class mail be delivered within one day and 97 percent within three days.²⁶³ In 2012, Posten AB delivered 95.4 percent of first class letters within one day and 99.9 percent of first class letters within three days.²⁶⁴ Against an internal on-time delivery quality target for parcels of 97 percent, Posten AB achieved a performance rate of 96.8 percent.²⁶⁵

19. Switzerland

As Switzerland’s universal service provider, Swiss Post must deliver letters up to 1 kilogram and parcels up to 30 kg on all working days – “a minimum of five days a week.”²⁶⁶ The Swiss universal service obligation includes relatively strict provisions which “require extensive reporting, insure timely delivery, protect jobs, and prohibit outsourcing.”²⁶⁷ Universal service products include inbound and outbound letters, parcels, newspapers, and periodicals as well as transaction products such as cash-in payments, payouts, and transfers.²⁶⁸ Swiss Post offers a home-delivery service, but the home must be less than 30 minutes away on foot or by public transport from the nearest post office.²⁶⁹

Funding Mechanism: How is Universal Service Paid for?

Universal service is self-financed through reserved area income.²⁷⁰ The Swiss Parliament has maintained Swiss Post’s monopoly on domestic letters weighing up to 50 grams.²⁷¹ If the reserved area income is insufficient, the regulator, the Federal Department of Environment, Transport, Energy and Communications (UVEK), can levy concession charges up to 3 percent of turnover in order to finance universal service.²⁷²

While cross financing within the universal service is permitted, rival services may not be subsidized with income from the universal service.²⁷³

Additional Information

Swiss Post must deliver 97 percent of letters and 95 percent of parcels on time.²⁷⁴ On-time delivery in 2012 for the A Mail service improved from 97.5 percent in 2011 to 97.9 percent in 2012.²⁷⁵ B Mail on-time delivery slipped from 99.3 percent in 2011 to 98.8 percent in 2012.²⁷⁶ A Mail letters are delivered on the next day after posting, while B Mail letters are delivered within three working days after mailing.^{277, 278}

Appendix A

Summary of Major National Postal Systems

Country	Universal Service Fund? (Y/N)	Revenue (USD)	Liberalized? (Y/N)	Global Share	
				Postal Revenues [†]	Domestic Mail Volume
Argentina	Y	\$705 million	Y	0.2%	0.15%
Australia	**	\$4.9 billion	Partial*	1.7%	1.28%
Brazil	Y	\$6.2 billion	N	2.3%	2.38%
Canada	N	\$7.4 billion	N	1.9%	
Chile	Y	\$133 million	Y	0.0%	.07%
China	Y	\$21.6 billion	N	10.5%	2.00%
France	Y	\$28.9 billion	Y	9.1%	4.10%
Germany	N	\$74 billion	Y	23.5% [‡]	5.44%
India	Y	\$1.36 billion	N	0.4%	1.53%
Italy	Y	\$28.9 billion	Y	4.1%	1.36%
Japan	N	\$175 billion	Y	7.5%	5.24%
Netherlands	N	\$5.8 billion	Y	1.8%	
New Zealand	N	\$1.1 billion	Y	0.3%	1.04%
Portugal	Y	\$1.0 billion	Y	0.3%	0.24%
Spain	Y	\$2.8 billion	Y	0.9%	
Sweden	N	\$6.1 billion	Y	1.4%	0.61%
Switzerland	Y	\$9.3 billion	Partial**	3.0%	0.64%
United Kingdom	N	\$14.6 billion	Y	4.9%	
USA	N	\$65 billion	Partial	21.7%	43.51%

[†] Source: Universal Postal Union, except for China, New Zealand, United Kingdom (compiled from annual reports). Revenue sources vary and in many cases include significant revenue derived from non-mail activity.

[‡] 2012 Annual Report identifies 24.6% of this total as Mail Revenue

* Letters below 250 grams are protected from competition.

** Letters below 50 grams are protected from competition.

Appendix B

The European Union Experience

To increase service quality and maintain competitive postal costs among member countries, the European Union launched a campaign of liberalizing postal monopolies in the late 1990s. Since then, letter mail across Europe has been fully liberalized, with most EU member states having completely opened their market for all letters in 2011. This is the culmination of an extended process implementing Single Market postal directives adopted by the European Parliament and Council.

This framework was established toward the achievement of 11 specific objectives. In summary, these objectives sought to define a minimum range of services to be provided for the benefit of all users, to set maximum limits to monopoly (reserved) areas granted to the provider of universal service, to open markets to competition, to improve the quality of services provided, and to establish the principal “that tariffs should be related to costs and to ensure that the financing of the provision of universal service is carried out in a transparent manner.”²⁷⁹

Additional objectives to support these include the harmonization of technical standards, ensuring fair conditions of competition outside of reserved areas and establishing a consistent approach to the coordination of postal policies.

First Directive – Directive 96/67/EC, December 1997

The 1997 Postal Directive established common rules for the development of the internal market of postal services and the improvement of quality of service.²⁸⁰ Defining timetables for liberalization, minimum characteristics of universal service to be guaranteed by each member state, requiring national regulatory authorities independent of postal operators, and fulfilling other baseline requirements were all integrated elements.

Second Directive – Directive 2002/39/EC

The 2002 Postal Directive defined further steps and processes for “gradual and controlled market opening and further limiting the service sectors that can be reserved.”²⁸¹ This included timetables for the achievement of specific, interim objectives.

Third Directive – Directive 2008/6/EC

Defined 2010 and 2012 as deadlines for final steps for opening markets fully for 16 member countries. The European Commission’s 2012 Green Paper, “An Integrated Parcel Delivery Market for the Growth of E-Commerce in the EU” was a significant outcome of the Third Directive. The Green Paper noted the prevalence of certain conditions, particularly high costs and varying service quality, that it described as hindering the development of a better functioning e-commerce marketplace.²⁸²

Appendix C

Practices That Redefine Universal Service Obligations

In response to the challenges posed to postal business models resulting from decreasing mail volume, national postal operators and regulators have begun to reconsider the terms by which their universal service obligations are defined. What follows is a summary of seven of the most prominent examples of these changes and the benefits they produce.

Worksharing (United States) – United States law (39 USC § 3622) defines workshare discounts for bulk mailers as “rate discounts provided to mailers for the presorting, prebarcoding, handling, or transportation of mail.” The Postal Regulatory Commission is charged with ensuring that such discounts do not exceed the cost that the Postal Service avoids as a result of workshare activity. Worksharing benefits the U.S. Postal Service by allowing it to stimulate mail volume growth while minimizing its workforce and infrastructure. Mailers in turn are able to reduce mail-related costs while often improving the quality of service.

Cluster boxes and curbside delivery (United States) – Cluster boxes are mailboxes placed in central locations within communities, rather than at each customer’s front door. The U.S. Postal Service has determined that for many new housing developments, it will require residents to collect their mail at these central locations, allowing it to maximize the efficiency of delivery routes. This practice has been extended to some businesses located in industrial parks and shopping malls, and federal decisionmakers have suggested that it may be extended to existing residences as well. While the use of centralized delivery locations may pose a slight inconvenience to consumers, financial savings can be significant. In addition, delivery to retail outlets is a common and successful practice among posts in Europe.

Reduction in delivery days (Canada) – In 1982, Canada Post reduced its number of weekly delivery days from six to five. Several European posts also regularly deliver fewer than six days per week. Such reductions allow the post to realize savings in carrier labor, processing and fuel costs.

Utilizing commercial retail postal outlets and village postal outlets (United States, Canada) – Canada Post routinely makes use of Retail Postal Outlets, facilities operated under contract by businesses or individuals that provide consumers with retail postal sales and services. The United States in 2011 began locating Village Post Offices in varying locations including businesses and libraries. This provides consumers with benefits of flexible business hours, such as evenings, as well as convenience, while allowing postal operators to avoid expensive real estate and labor costs.

Delivery to automated postal lockers (Germany) – Deutsche Post DHL introduced its “Packstations” to consumers in 2002, and today has over 2,500 in use. Typically located in centralized urban locations, use of the automated lockers includes customer notification via text message or email when items are received. Packstations are available to customers at all times of the day or week.

Electronic delivery (Australia, Sweden) – In 2012, Australia Post began offering customers secure mail delivery to free and secure digital mailboxes. The program, in partnership with Pitney Bowes, offers a flexible range of integration options and hybrid products, all utilizing encrypted formats. Benefits include lower cost and 24-hour availability. Sweden’s Posten AB allows customers to send letters via email that are delivered physically via post.

Combining processing facilities while maintaining service standards (United States) – The U.S. Postal Service has worked in recent years to improve the efficiency of its space, equipment, staffing and transportation in its mail processing functions. It notes realizing annual savings of USD3 billion while reducing its network of processing and sorting facilities by over half.

End Notes

1. Accenture. *Achieving High Performance in the Postal Industry: Accenture Research and Insights 2013*, p. 7, at http://www.accenture.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/PDF/Accenture_Achieving-High-Performance-in-the-Postal-Industry-2013-Research-and-Insights.pdf.
2. Postmaster General Patrick R. Donahoe, Testimony Before U.S. House of Representatives, April 17, 2013.
3. Crew, Michael and Paul R. Kleindorfer. *Progress in the Competitive Agenda in the Postal and Delivery Sector*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009, pp. 68-69.
4. *Ibid.*, p. 69.
5. United States Code Title 39, §403 General duties, U.S. Government Printing Office, 2011, at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title39/html/USCODE-2011-title39-part1-chap4-sec403.htm>.
6. Office of the Inspector General, United States Postal Service, "What America Wants from the Postal Service," RARC-WP-13-009, May 21, 2013, p. 9.
7. Postmaster General Patrick R. Donahoe, Testimony Before U.S. House of Representatives, April 17, 2013.
8. Crew and Kleindorfer, p. 69.
9. Universal Postal Union, "Statutes and Structures of Postal Entities: United States of America," p. 2, accessed June 2013 at <http://www.upu.int/fileadmin/documentsFiles/theUpu/statusOfPostalEntities/usaEn.pdf>.
10. Yoder, Eric and Josh Hicks. "U.S. Postal Service posts \$1.9 billion loss, despite efficiency efforts," *The Washington Post*, May 10, 2013, at <http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/federal-eye/wp/2013/05/10/u-s-postal-service-posts-1-9-billion-loss-despite-efficiency-efforts/>.
11. "U.S. Postal Service: Opportunities to Increase Revenue Exist with Competitive Products; Reviewing Long-Term Results Could Better Inform Promotions Decisions," Government Accountability Office, June 2013, p. 9.
12. Longley, Robert. "About the U.S. Postal Service," *About.com Guide*, accessed June 2013 at <http://usgovinfo.about.com/od/consumerawareness/a/uspabout.htm>.
13. *Ibid.*
14. United States Postal Service, "USPS USO Executive Summary," p. 1, accessed June 2013 at <http://about.usps.com/universal-postal-service/usps-uso-executive-summary.pdf>.
15. Universal Postal Union, *op cit.*
16. Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act, December 20, 2006, Section 102.
17. Government Accountability Office, "Overview of Initiatives to Increase Revenue and Introduce Nonpostal Services and Experimental Postal Products," January 2013, GAO-13-215.
18. *Ibid.*, p. 1.
19. United States Postal Service, "Universal Service and the Postal Monopoly: A Brief History," p. 2, accessed June 2013 at <https://about.usps.com/who-we-are/postal-history/universal-service-postal-monopoly-history.pdf>.
20. *Ibid.*
21. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics* (MRU GmbH, 2008) p. 275
22. "Quarterly Statistics Report (QSR) Quarter II FY 2013," United States Postal Service, [<https://about.usps.com/who-we-are/financials/quarterly-statistics-reports/fy2013-q2.pdf>] p. 105
23. "Quarterly Performance for Single-Piece Mail: Quarter II FY2013," United States Postal Service, [<http://about.usps.com/what-we-are-doing/service-performance/fy2013-q2-single-piece-first-class-mail-quarterly-performance.html>].
24. *Ibid.*
25. Accenture. *Achieving High Performance in the Postal Industry, op cit*, p. 4.
26. *Ibid.*
27. China Post Group, "Introduction to China Post Group," p. 19, accessed June 2013 at <http://www.chinapost.com.cn/36/161/index.html>.
28. China Post Group, *2010 Annual Report*, at <http://www.chinapost.com.cn/img/2011yzwecp/nb/2010.pdf>.

29. Sinha, Shekhar Kumar. *Productivity, Efficiency, And Pricing In India Post*, Concept Publishing Company, 2009, p. 109, at <http://bit.ly/1a4gfRu>.
30. People's Republic of China, *Postal Law of the People's Republic of China (2009 Revised)*, Article 15, 2009, at http://www.procedurallaw.cn/english/law/200905/t20090526_224670.html.
31. World Trade Organization, Secretariat Report, May 31, 2010, p. 97.
32. *Ibid.*
33. *Ibid.*
34. *Postal Law of the People's Republic of China*. Article 19.
35. "Communication in China – Postal Service," *TravelChinaGuide.com*, accessed June 2013 at <http://www.travelchinaguide.com/essential/not-taken.htm>.
36. ChinaCulture.org, "Postal Services," 2003, at http://www.chinaculture.org/gb/en_travel/2003-09/24/content_32264.htm.
37. Universal Postal Union, *op cit*, p. 1.
38. *Postal Law of the People's Republic of China (2009 Revised)*, Article 16 & 17, People's Republic of China, 2009, at http://www.procedurallaw.cn/english/law/200905/t20090526_224670.html.
39. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2011 Edition*, p. 21, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/uploads/1/Index_of_Postal_Freedom_-_2012_Edition.pdf.
40. *Ibid.*, p. 22.
41. China Post Group. *2012 Annual Report*, p. 13.
42. *Ibid*, p. 24.
43. China Post Group at <http://www.chinapost.com.cn>
44. China Post Group. *2012 Annual Report*, p. 62.
45. "Courier Companies Vent Opposition to Proposed Levy," *The Economic Observer*, April 25, 2013, at <http://www.eeo.com.cn/ens/2013/0425/243148.shtml>
46. *Ibid.*
47. China Post Group. *2012 Annual Report*, p. 13.
48. *Ibid*, p. 19.
49. *Ibid.*
50. *Ibid*, p. 64.
51. China Post Group. *2010 Annual Report, op cit*.
52. USPS Office of the Inspector General, "Postal Ping Pong Diplomacy: Opportunity Knocks for the U.S. Postal Service," *Pushing the Envelope*, April 25, 2011 at <http://blog.uspsoig.gov/index.php/2011/postal-ping-pong-diplomacy-opportunity-knocks-for-the-u-s-postal-service/>.
53. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *WIK-Consult*, September 23 2011, p. 37, at http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf.
54. *Ibid.*, p. 38.
55. *Ibid.*
56. Ecorys, *Annex II: Main Developments in the Postal Sector (2006-2008)*, pp. 879-80, accessed June 2013 at http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/markt/markt_consultations/library?l=/postal_services/studies/cs_spain_finalpdf/_EN_1.0_&a=d.
57. *Ibid.*
58. *Ibid*, p. 890.
59. *Ibid.*, p. 888.
60. *Ibid.*
61. *Ibid.*, p. 40.

62. *Ibid.*, p. 875.
63. *Ibid.*, p. 881.
64. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *WIK-Consult*, September 23 2011, p. 54, [http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf].
65. *Ibid.*
66. *Ibid.*
67. *Ibid.*
68. Accenture. *Achieving High Performance in the Postal Industry, op cit*, p.19.
69. *Ibid.*, p. 13.
70. Dieke, Alex K., Antonia Niederpruem, and James I. Campbell, *Universal Service and Postal Monopoly in Other Countries*, George Mason University School of Public Policy, November 2008, p. 45 at <http://www.prc.gov/PRC-DOCS/library/USO%20Appendices/Appendix%20E.PDF>.
71. *Ibid.*
72. Postal Universal Service Ordinance (Post-Universaldienstleistungsverordnung,PUDLV) § 6, December 15 1999, [<http://www.iuscomp.org/gla/statutes/PUDLV.htm>].
73. "Report on Universal Postal Service and the Postal Monopoly," Postal Regulatory Commission, December 2008 p. 90, [<http://www.prc.gov/Docs/61/61628/USO%20Report.pdf>].
74. *Ibid.*
75. Dieke, Alex K., Antonia Niederpruem, and James I. Campbell, *op cit*, p. 49.
76. Postal Universal Service Ordinance (Post-Universaldienstleistungsverordnung,PUDLV), § 2 "Quality Standards for Letter Conveyance", December 15, 1999, at <http://www.iuscomp.org/gla/statutes/PUDLV.htm>.
77. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. *Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe, WIK-Consult*, September 23, 2011, p. 15, at http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf.
78. Accenture. *International Postal Liberalization—Comparative Study of US and Key Countries*, August 2008, p.99, at <http://about.usps.com/universal-postal-service/accenture-report.pdf>.
79. Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation, *Foreign Postal Services Sell Many Nonpostal Products; Would The U.S. Postal Service Be Financially Stronger If It Did The Same?*, Advisory No.285, July 30, 2012, p. 4, at <http://iret.org/pub/ADVS-285.PDF>.
80. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Germany," accessed June 2013 at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Germany_Deutsche_Post.shtml.
81. Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation, *op cit*, p. 6.
82. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele, *op cit*, p. 3.
83. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *WIK-Consult*, September 23 2011, p. 15, [http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf].
84. *Ibid.*
85. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele, *op cit*, p. 54, [http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf].
86. Dieke, Alex K., Antonia Niederpruem, and James I. Campbell, *op cit*, p. 10.
87. World Trade Organization, Postal and Courier Services, Background Note by the Secretariat, August, 2010, p. 21.
88. *Ibid.*, pp. 9-10.
89. *Ibid.*, p.10.
90. La Poste, "Who We Are: Universal Postal Service," accessed June 2013 at <http://www.laposte.com/Everything-about-La-Poste/Who-we-are/Universal-Postal-Service>.
91. *Ibid.*

92. International Labour Organization, *Employment, employability, and equal opportunities in the postal and telecommunications services*, 2002, p. 19, at <http://bit.ly/194yqoL>.
93. Accenture, *International Postal Liberalization, op cit*, p. 111.
94. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, p. 31, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/uploads/1/Index_of_Postal_Freedom_-_2012_Edition.pdf.
95. Universal Postal Union, *Statutes and Structures of Postal Entities: France*, p. 3, accessed June 2013 at <http://www.upu.int/fileadmin/documentsFiles/theUpu/statusOfPostalEntities/fraEn.pdf>.
96. Gautier, Alex and Dimitri Paolini. "Universal Service Financing in Competitive Postal Markets: One Size Does Not Fit All," *Review of Network Economics*, 2011, p. 25, at <http://orbi.ulg.ac.be/bitstream/2268/98800/1/Universal%20Service%20Financing%20in%20Competitive%20Postal%20Markets-1.pdf>.
97. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition, op cit*, p. 30.
98. Accenture, *International Postal Liberalization, op cit*, p. 58.
99. Campbell, Robert M. *Politics of Postal Transformation: Modernizing Postal Systems in the Electronic and Global World*, McGill-Queen's Press, March 2002, p. 263, at <http://bit.ly/ZJJu82>.
100. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition, op cit*, p. 31.
101. *Ibid.*
102. "Facts and Figures," La Poste Group, 2011, [<http://www.laposte.com/Everything-about-La-Poste/Who-we-are/Facts-and-figures>].
103. "2011 English Business Report," La Poste Group, p.8, [<http://www.laposte.com/content/download/1184/9506/file/2011-English-BusinessReport.pdf>].
104. Yoneda, Yuitsu. "Universal Service in Japan: the current situations and challenges," Japan Postal Group Union, October 27. 2008, p. 4, at http://www.uni-global-post.org/upload/meeting_in_manila_verweis13.pdf.
105. OECD, "Promoting Competition in Postal Services," 1999, p. 219, at <http://www.oecd.org/regreform/sectors/1920548.pdf>.
106. International Labour Organization, *Structural and Regulatory Changes and Globalization in Postal and Telecommunications Services*, 1998, p. 25, at <http://bit.ly/11vHSLD>.
107. Ambrosini, Xavier and Francois Boldron, and Bernard Roy. "Universal Service Obligations in the Postal Sector: Economic Learnings from Cross-Country Comparisons," *La Poste*, p. 28, at http://www.newbooks-services.de/MediaFiles/Texts/9/9780387297439_Excerpt_001.pdf.
108. OECD, *op cit*, p. 12 .
109. Yoneda, Yuitsu, *op cit*, p. 2.
110. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Japan," accessed June 2013 at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Japan_Post.shtml.
111. Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation, *op cit*, p. 3.
112. "2012 Annual Report," Japan Post Group, p. 40, [<http://www.japanpost.jp/en/group/disclosure/2012/pdf/all.pdf>].
113. *Ibid.*
114. *Ibid.*
115. *Ibid.*
116. Yoneda, Yuitsu, *op cit*, p. 11.
117. Buhler, Brody, "Achieving High Performance in the Postal Industry: Accenture Research and Insights 2013," Accenture, 2013, p. 16 [http://www.accenture.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/PDF/Accenture_Achieving-High-Performance-in-the-Postal-Industry-2013-Research-and-Insights.pdf].
118. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Brazil," accessed June 2013 at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Brazil_-_Correios_ECT.shtml.
119. "Brazil's postal service set targets to improve universal access," *Post & Parcel*, January 3, 2012, at <http://postandparcel.info/44626/news/companies/brazils-postal-service-set-targets-to-improve-universal-access/>.

120. World Trade Organization, Report by the Secretariat, Trade Policy Review, May 17, 2013, p. 36. at http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tpr_e/s283_e.pdfhttp://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tpr_e/s283_e.pdf
121. Sinha, *op cit*, p.109.
122. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Brazil," *op cit*.
123. de Oliveira, Edson Luiz, "Restructuring of the Postal Sector in Brazil in Response to the Changing World Economy." Institute of Brazilian Issues, George Washington University, 2000. p. 5, [<http://www.gwu.edu/~ibi/minerva/Fall2000/Edson.Oliveira.Sr.pdf>].
124. Accenture, 2013. p. 11.
125. "Brazil's postal service set targets to improve universal access," *Post & Parcel*, January 3, 2012, [<http://postandparcel.info/44626/news/companies/brazils-postal-service-set-targets-to-improve-universal-access/>].
126. "Brazil Post hitting quality targets, plans to hire 13,700 more workers," *Post & Parcel*, March 28, 2012, [<http://postandparcel.info/46767/news/companies/brazil-post-hitting-quality-targets-plans-to-hire-13700-more-workers/>].
127. Brazil's postal service set targets to improve universal access," *Post & Parcel*, January 3, 2012, [<http://postandparcel.info/44626/news/companies/brazils-postal-service-set-targets-to-improve-universal-access/>].
128. Brazil Post hitting quality targets, plans to hire 13,700 more workers," *Post & Parcel*, March 28, 2012, [<http://postandparcel.info/46767/news/companies/brazil-post-hitting-quality-targets-plans-to-hire-13700-more-workers/>].
129. *Ibid*.
130. Guislain, Pierre, ed., *The Postal Sector in Developing and Transition Countries*, The World Bank Group, September 2004, p.12, at http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTINFORMATIONANDCOMMUNICATIONANDTECHNOLOGIES/Resources/postalReport_complete.pdf.
131. *Ibid*.
132. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Brazil," *op cit*.
133. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Britain," accessed June 2013 at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Britain_-_Royal_Mail.shtml.
134. Dieke, Alex K., Antonia Niederpruem, and James I. Campbell, *op cit*, p. 30.
135. "Universal Service Obligation," Royal Mail Group, 2013, at <http://www.royalmailgroup.com/about-us/regulation/how-were-regulated/universal-service-obligation>.
136. Consumer Postal Council, "Index of Postal Freedom: Britain," *op cit*.
137. Royal Mail Group, "Universal Service Obligation," accessed June 2013 at <http://www.royalmailgroup.com/about-us/regulation/how-were-regulated/universal-service-obligation>.
138. *Ibid*.
139. Ofcom, "Securing the Universal Postal Service," October 2011, at <http://stakeholders.ofcom.org.uk/consultations/securing-the-postal-service/summary>.
140. *Ibid*.
141. "Royal Mail Holdings Annual Report and Financial Statements 2011-12," Royal Mail Group, p. 3, at <http://www.royalmailgroup.com/sites/default/files/RMG%20FULL%20YEAR%20RESULTS%20STATEMENT%202012.pdf>.
142. "Quality of Service," Royal Mail Group, [<http://www.royalmail.com/access-external-capital/quality-of-service>].
143. "Annual Report 2012," Royal Mail Group, p. 6, [http://www.royalmailgroup.com/sites/default/files/Annual_Report_2012.pdf].
144. *Ibid*.
145. *Ibid*.
146. Hooper, Richard. "Saving the Royal Mail's universal postal service in the digital age: An update of the 2008 Independent Review of the Postal Services Sector," The Stationery Office Limited, p. 7, at https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/31808/10-1143-saving-royal-mail-universal-postal-service.pdf.

147. *Ibid.*
148. Ofcom, *op cit.*
149. Royal Mail Group, "Royal Mail's Response to Postcomm's Sixteen Issues in the Consultation on the Universal Service," July 2003, p. 3, at http://www.royalmailgroup.com/sites/default/files/Annex_B_USO_Response_Jul03.pdf.
150. Postal Regulatory Commission, "Report on Universal Postal Service and the Postal Monopoly," December 2008, p.89, at <http://www.prc.gov/Docs/61/61628/USO%20Report.pdf>.
151. Accenture, *International Postal Liberalization, op cit*, p.107.
152. Universal Postal Union, *Statutes and Structures of Postal Entities: Italy*, p. 1, at <http://www.upu.int/fileadmin/documentsFiles/theUpu/statusOfPostalEntities/itaEn.pdf>.
153. Sund, Kristian J. "Transformation and Diversification in the Context of Regulated Industries: The case of Poste Italiane and PosteMobile," *International Journal of Management Education* 9(4), p. 2, at <http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/assets/bmaf/documents/publications/IJME/Vol9No4/IJME349.pdf>.
154. Poste Italiane, Annual Report 2012, p. 5, at http://www.posteitaliane.post/resources/english/editoriali/pdf/Annual_Report_2012.pdf.
155. *Ibid*, p. 158.
156. Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation, *op cit*, p. 4.
157. Crew and Kleindorfer, *op cit*, p. 80.
158. Anthony, Michael, Paul R. Kleindorfer, and James I. Campbell. *Handbook of Worldwide Postal Reform*. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2008, p. 205, at <http://bit.ly/172Sllh>.
159. "Funding universal service obligations in the postal sector," *Oxera*, January 2007, p. 33, at <http://bit.ly/119YSeR>.
160. Gautier, Axel and Dimitri Paolini. "Universal service financing in competitive postal markets: One size does not fit all," *Centre de Recherche en Economie Publique et de la Population*. August 2010, p. 22, at <http://www2.ulg.ac.be/crepp/papers/crepp-wp201004.pdf>.
161. *Ibid*, p. 25.
162. Accenture, *International Postal Liberalization, op cit*, p. 58.
163. Crew and Kleindorfer, *op cit*, p. 80.
164. "Annual Report 2012," Poste Italiane, p. 66, at http://www.posteitaliane.post/resources/english/editoriali/pdf/Annual_Report_2012.pdf.
165. "Annual Report 2011," Poste Italiane, p. 64, http://www.poste.it/en/azienda/annual_report/Annual_Report_2011.pdf.
166. "Index of Postal Freedom 2012 Edition," *Consumer Postal Council*, 2012, p. 90, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/images/2012_CPC_IPF_WEB.pdf.
167. "Postal Services – 4th quarter 2012," *ANACOM*, 2012, at <http://www.anacom.pt/render.jsp?contentId=1156996#n1>.
168. Universal postal service quality convention, of 10.07.2008," *ANACOM*, 2008, at <http://www.anacom.pt/render.jsp?contentId=980404>.
169. "Annex II: Main Developments in the Postal Sector (2006-2008)," *Ecorys*, p. 752, at http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/markt/markt_consultations/library?l=/postal_services/studies/portugal_finalpdf/_EN_1.0_&a=d.
170. Geradin, Damien, *The Liberalization of Postal Services in the European Union*, 2002, p. 321-2, at <http://bit.ly/12S7vwx>.
171. "Annex II: Main Developments in the Postal Sector (2006-2008)," *Ecorys*, p. 752, at http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/markt/markt_consultations/library?l=/postal_services/studies/portugal_finalpdf/_EN_1.0_&a=d.
172. *Ibid*.
173. "Portugal opens postal market to full competition," *Post & Parcel*, April 27, 2012, at <http://postandparcel.info/47337/news/companies/portugal-opens-postal-market-to-full-competition/>.
174. "ERGP Report on the Quality of Service and the End-user Satisfaction," European Regulators Group for Postal Services, p.19, [http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/ergp/docs/documentation/2012/121130_ergp-12-30-quality-service-end-users-draft-report_en.pdf].

175. *Ibid.*
176. "ERGP Report on the Quality of Service and the End-user Satisfaction," European Regulators Group for Postal Services, p.31, [http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/ergp/docs/documentation/2012/121130_ergp-12-30-quality-service-end-users-draft-report_en.pdf].
177. *Ibid.*
178. "ERGP Report on the Quality of Service and the End-user Satisfaction," European Regulators Group for Postal Services, p.33, [http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/ergp/docs/documentation/2012/121130_ergp-12-30-quality-service-end-users-draft-report_en.pdf].
179. "Challenges Facing the Postal Sector as it Moves to Full Liberalisation," ANACOM, April 26 2011, p. 8, at http://www.anacom.pt/streaming/challenges_postSector_fullLiberalisation.pdf?contentId=1092316&field=ATTACHED_FILE.
180. *Ibid.*, p. 9.
181. World Trade Organization, Trade Policy Review, WT/TPR/S/214, p. 143.
182. "Annex II: Main Developments in the Postal Sector (2006-2008)," *Ecorys*, p. 763-4, at http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/markt/markt_consultations/library?l=/postal_services/studies/portugal_finalpdf/_EN_1.0_&a=d.
183. "Carrier Intelligence Report: CTT-Coreeios de Portugal," *International Post Corporation*, p. 8, at <http://www.ipc.be/~media/Documents/PUBLIC/Markets/CIR/CTT-Correios%20de%20Portugal%202012.ashx>.
184. "Competing on all fronts," *Post & Parcel*, February 24, 2010, at <http://postandparcel.info/31745/in-depth/competing-on-all-fronts/>.
185. Bonvecchi, Alejandro and Javier Zelaznik, "Argentine Democratic Politics in an Era of Global Economic Crisis," *Taiwan Journal of Democracy*, Volume 8, No. 1:1-25, July 2012, at <http://www.tfd.org.tw/docs/dj0801/001.pdf>.
186. Azpiazu, Daniel, Federico Basualdo, and Pablo Manzanelli, *UNI Global Union*, August 2009, p. 56, at <http://www.uniglobalunion.org/apps/UNINews.nsf/Argentina%20case%20study.pdf>.
187. *Ibid.*
188. *Ibid.*
189. "The Argentine Case, Annex 2," Infocomm Development Authority of Singapore, p. 4, at http://www.ida.gov.sg/~media/Files/PCDG/Consultations/20060818_FurtherLibPostalSvc/Annex2.pdf.
190. "Interview with Eduardo di Cola, President, Correo Argentino," *Post & Parcel*, February 1, 2009, at <http://postandparcel.info/332/in-depth/interview-with-eduardo-di-cola-president-correo-argentino/>.
191. UNI Global Union, "What has Postal Liberalisation delivered? The Case of Argentina," p. 62, [<http://www.uniglobalunion.org/apps/UNINews.nsf/Argentina%20case%20study.pdf>].
192. *Ibid.*
193. *Ibid.*
194. Azpiazu, Daniel, Federico Basualdo, and Pablo Manzanelli, *UNI Global Union*, August 2009, p.61, at <http://www.uniglobalunion.org/apps/UNINews.nsf/Argentina%20case%20study.pdf>.
195. Aeberhard, Danny and Andrew Benson, *The Rough Guide to Argentina*, p. 44, at <http://bit.ly/16UQ51u>.
196. *Ibid.*
197. World Trade Organization, Postal and Courier Services, Background Note by the Secretariat, August, 2010, p. 8.
198. "Correos de Chile sees 'astonishing' response to parcel terminal project," *Post & Parcel*, March 5, 2013, at <http://postandparcel.info/54248/news/companies/correos-de-chile-sees-astonishing-response-to-parcel-terminal-project/>.
199. "Index of Postal Freedom: Chile," *Consumer Postal Council*, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Chile_-.shtml.
200. *Ibid.*
201. "The Postal Sector in Developing and Transition Countries," *World Bank Group*, September 2004, p. 8, at <http://www.cercetareservicii.ase.ro/resurse/Documente/316640PostalReport01public1.pdf>.

202. "Improved customer service pays off for Chile's postal service," *Commprise US Call Center Services*, May 16, 2013, at <http://www.commprise.com/blog/improved-customer-service-pays-off-for-chiles-postal-service/>.
203. "Index of Postal Freedom: Chile," *Consumer Postal Council*, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Chile_-.shtml.
204. "Parcel tracking, automated terminal turnaround of Chilean postal service," *Enterprise Labeling*, May 29, 2013, at <http://enterpriselabeling.com/2013/05/29/parcel-tracking-automated-terminals-key-to-turnaround-of-chilean-postal-service/>.
205. "Postal Digest: Algeria's address database; CorreosChile expands retail network," *Post & Parcel*, January 3, 2012, at <http://postandparcel.info/44667/news/companies/postal-digest-algerias-address-database-correoschile-expands-retail-network/>.
206. CorreosChile to offer MoneyGram money transfer services," *Post & Parcel*, June 11, 2013, at <http://postandparcel.info/56327/news/retail-news/correoschile-to-offer-moneygram-money-transfer-services/>.
207. "Index of Postal Freedom: Chile," *Consumer Postal Council*, at http://www.postalconsumers.org/postal_freedom_index/Chile_-.shtml.
208. *Ibid.*
209. India Post website accessed October 18, 2013 at http://www.indiapost.gov.in/About_Us_Master.aspx
210. *Consumer Postal Council, Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition, op cit, p. 44.*
211. "Online Delivery Tracking System to Monitor Express Parcel Post Service," *Times of India*, October 16, 2013.
212. "Safe Haven Investors Wary of Markey Linked Products Can Choose from a Host of Tried and Tested Options," *Business World*, October 4, 2013, by Shailesh Menno.
213. "Fast Money Transfer Schemes in Slow Lane," *Times of India*, October 21, 2013, by Piyush Mishra.
214. India Post Logistics Post website accessed October 17, 2013, <http://www.indiapost.gov.in/LogisticsPost.aspx>
215. *Consumer Postal Council, Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition, op cit, p. 44.*
216. Australia Post, "Community service obligations," accessed August 2013 at <http://auspost.com.au/about-us/community-service-obligations.html>.
217. Postal Regulatory Commission, "Report on Universal Postal Service and the Postal Monopoly," December 2008, p. 96, at <http://www.prc.gov/Docs/61/61628/USO%20Report.pdf>.
218. *Ibid.*
219. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics*, MRU GmbH, 2008, p. 29.
220. *Ibid.*
221. Australia Post, "Community service obligations," *op cit.*
222. *Ibid.*
223. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics, op cit, p. 29.*
224. *Ibid.*
225. *Ibid.*
226. *Ibid.*
227. Australia Post, *Annual Report 2012*, p. 115, at http://auspost.com.au/annualreport2012/assets/downloads/AusPost_AR12_Full_final.pdf.
228. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics*, MRU GmbH, 2008, p. 64.
229. Canada Post, *Annual Report 2012*, p. 24, at http://www.canadapost.ca/cpo/mc/assets/pdf/aboutus/annualreport/2012_AR_complete_en.pdf.
230. *Ibid.*, p. 18.
231. *Consumer Postal Council, Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition, op cit, p. 13.*
232. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics, op cit, p. 64.*

233. *Ibid.*
234. Canada Post, *Delivery Standards Guide*, accessed August 2013 at <http://www.canadapost.ca/cpo/mc/personal/guides/deliverystandards.jsf>.
235. Canada Post, *Annual Report 2012*, *op cit*, p. 19.
236. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, *op cit*, p. 11.
237. "PostNL, Annual Report 2012, at <http://annualreport2012.postnl.com/performance-statements/financial-statements/additional-notes/postal-regulation-and-concession>.
238. *Ibid.*
239. *Ibid.*
240. *Ibid.*
241. *Ibid.*
242. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *WIK-Consult*, September 23 2011, p. 3, at http://www.wik.org/uploads/media/Universal_Postal_Service.pdf.
243. *Ibid.*
244. PostNL, *Annual Report 2012*, *op cit*.
245. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *op cit*, p. 3.
246. PostNL, Annual Report 2011, at <http://annualreport2011.postnl.com/report-of-the-board-of-management/demerger-pensions-equity-and-funding-position/demerger>.
247. "New Zealand cuts postal service to three days a week," *The Guardian*, October 23, 2013.
248. New Zealand Post, "History of New Zealand Post," accessed August 2013 at <http://www.nzpost.co.nz/about-us/who-we-are/history-of-new-zealand-post>.
249. Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment, "Proposal by New Zealand Post to Minister for Communications and Information Technology," January 2013, p. 49, [<http://www.med.govt.nz/sectors-industries/technology-communication/pdf-docs-library/postal-services/consultation-on-proposed-changes-to-nz-post-deed-of-understanding/NZ-Post-discussion-paper-January-2013.pdf>].
250. Dieke, Alex K., Antonia Niederpruem, and James I. Campbell, *op cit*, p. 14.
251. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, *op cit*, p. 86.
252. New Zealand Post Group, *Annual Report 2012*, p. 167, at <http://www.nzpost.co.nz/sites/default/files/uploads/shared/annual%20reports/annual-report-2012.pdf>.
253. *Ibid.*, p. 11.
254. *Ibid.*
255. *Ibid.*
256. PostNord AB, *Annual Report & Sustainability Report 2012*, at <http://www.postnord.com/arsredovisning2012/en/Consolidatedfinancialreview/Universalserviceobligations.html>.
257. *Ibid.*
258. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, *op cit*, p. 101.
259. PostNord AB, *Annual Report & Sustainability Report 2012*, at <http://www.postnord.com/arsredovisning2012/en/Consolidatedfinancialreview/Universalserviceobligations.html>.
260. *Ibid.*
261. Dieke, Alex, Petra Junk, and Sonja Thiele. "Universal Postal Service and Competition: Experience from Europe," *op cit*, p. 6.
262. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, *op cit*, p. 100.
263. PostNord, *Annual Report 2012*, p. 17, at <http://www.postnord.com/arsredovisning2012/en/PostNord2012-ar-en.pdf>.
264. *Ibid.*

265. *Ibid.*, p. 28.
266. Consumer Postal Council, *Index of Postal Freedom: 2012 Edition*, *op cit*, p. 107.
267. *Ibid.*
268. PricewaterhouseCoopers, *Evaluating the Impact of a Full Market Opening on Swiss Post*, November 2006, p. 10, at http://www.business.uzh.ch/professorships/som/Team/UrsTrinkner/Briefmarkt/pwc_CH.pdf.
269. Swiss Post, *Swiss Post now and in the future*, p. 5, accessed August 2013 at <http://www.post.ch/en/post-startseite/post-konzern/post-konzern-uebersicht/post-politik-internationales/post-herausforderungen/post-broschuere-herausforderungen-04-2013.pdf>.
270. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics*, *op cit*, p. 74.
271. Swiss Post, *Swiss Post now and in the future*, *op cit*.
272. Manner-Romberg, Horst. *Media Reference Guide CEP and Logistics*, *op cit*, p. 74.
273. *Ibid.*
274. Swiss Post, *Swiss Post now and in the future*, *op cit*, p. 5.
275. "Swiss Post improves letter and parcel delivery quality," *Post & Parcel*, March 7, 2013, at <http://postandparcel.info/54291/news/companies/swiss-post-improves-letter-and-parcel-delivery-quality/>.
276. *Ibid.*
277. Swiss Post, *Mail in Switzerland*, accessed August 2013 at <http://www.post.ch/en/post-startseite/post-geschaeftskunden/post-briefe/post-briefe-versand-national.htm>.
278. Swiss Post, *B Mail individual items*, accessed August 2013 at <http://www.post.ch/en/post-startseite/post-geschaeftskunden/post-briefe/post-briefe-versand-national/post-briefe-b-post.htm>.
279. European Commission, *The EU Single Market*, accessed August 22, 2013 at http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/post/legislation/index_en.htm#maincontentSec3.
280. *Ibid.*
281. *Ibid.*
282. European Commission, "An Integrated Parcel Delivery Market for the Growth of E-Commerce in the EU," p. 2, accessed August 22 at http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/consultations/docs/2012/parcel-delivery/121129_green-paper-parcel-delivery_en.pdf.



1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 900-A
Arlington, VA 22209
United States of America

(703) 312-4563
www.postalconsumers.org
info@postalconsumers.org